

Subproteomic Analysis of the Mitochondrial Proteins in Rats 24 h After Partial Hepatectomy

Qingju Sun, Mingyong Miao, Xuan Jia, Wei Guo, Lianghua Wang, Zhenzhen Yao, Chunli Liu, and Binghua Jiao^{*}

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Second Military Medical University, Shanghai 200433, China

ABSTRACT

A 70% partial hepatectomy (70%PHx) induces cell proliferation until the original mass of the liver is restored. Mitochondria are involved directly in the process of liver regeneration (LR); however, their role in the early phase of LR is not clear. In an attempt to identify mitochondrial proteins that are correlated with the early phase of LR, we obtained a mitochondrial fraction via Nycodenz[®] density gradient centrifugation and subcellular proteomic analysis was performed. The mitochondrial proteins were separated by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis and identified by mass spectrometry. Compared to the sham-operation control group, 3 proteins were up-regulated and 22 proteins were down-regulated at 24 h after 70%PHx. We identified 22 differentially expressed proteins that were associated with carbohydrate metabolism, lipid metabolism, the respiratory chain and oxidation–phosphorylation, biotransformation and other metabolic pathways. Prohibitin, a proliferation-regulating protein that was down-regulated at 24 h after PHx, was analyzed by applying RNAi (PHBi) with BRL-3A. This demonstrated a decreased mitochondrial membrane potential, implying a potential role in maintaining mitochondrial integrity. These results indicated that hepatic mitochondrial adaptations to LR after 70%PHx affect various cellular metabolic pathways, which advances our knowledge of the role of mitochondria in the early phase of LR. J. Cell. Biochem. 105: 176–184, 2008. © 2008 Wiley-Liss, Inc.

KEY WORDS: SUBCELLULAR PROTEOME; PARTIAL HEPATECTOMY; MITOCHONDRIA; TWO-DIMENSIONAL GEL ELECTROPHORESIS; MASS SPECTRO-METRY; PROHIBITIN

A 70% partial hepatectomy (70%PHx) induces cell proliferation until the original mass of the liver is restored [Michalopoulos, 1990]. Acceleration of liver regeneration (LR) could be of great clinical benefit in various liver-associated diseases. However, because of our limited understanding and the complexity of the mechanisms involved in LR, at present little is known about therapeutic interventions to enhance this regenerative process.

The expression of clusters of differentially regulated genes in the process of LR has been described. However, studies should not be limited to post-translational gene regulation but should include the effect of post-transcriptional regulatory mechanisms, which alter the abundance and function of the corresponding gene products [Gygi et al., 1999; Chen et al., 2002]. Details of the mechanism underlying the control of LR are not known. With the development and establishment of 2-DE and MS technology, the protein expression pattern of rat liver and LR was focused on [Fountoulakis and Suter, 2002]. Subcellular fractionation and purification of organelles are valuable additions to the protein separation techniques commonly used in proteomic analysis.

Mitochondria are complex subcellular organelles with key roles in many cellular functions, including energy production, calcium homeostasis, cell signaling and aging [Thress et al., 1999; Newmeyer and Ferguson-Miller, 2003; Melov, 2004]. In the light of early changes in ATP concentration found in liver after PHx, before activation of cell proliferation [Ngala-Kenda et al., 1984], mitochondria were investigated as they are involved directly in LR [Inomoto et al., 1994; Guerrierie et al., 1995, 1999; Vendemmiale et al., 1995]. However, details of the underlying mechanism are not known.

In this study, subcellular proteomics were used in combination with subcellular fractionation to analyze alterations in the mitochondrial proteome in rats at 24 h after 70%PHx. The differences in expression of proteins indicated that hepatic mitochondrial adaptation to LR after PHx affects various cellular metabolic pathways, such as carbohydrate metabolism, respiratory chain and oxidation-phosphorylation, and lipid metabolism. The proliferation-regulating protein prohibitin was down-regulated, and analysis by RNAi (PHBi) with BRL-3A demonstrated decreased mitochondrial

Grant sponsor: National Natural Science Foundation of China; Grant number: 30570837. *Correspondence to: Binghua Jiao, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Second Military Medical University, Shanghai 200433, China. E-mail: jiaobh@uninet.com.cn Received 27 January 2008; Accepted 7 April 2008 • DOI 10.1002/jcb.21811 • 2008 Wiley-Liss, Inc. Published online 3 June 2008 in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com).

176

membrane potential, implying a role in the maintenance of mitochondrial integrity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

ANIMALS AND SURGERY

Adult male Sprague–Dawley rats (220–250 g) were obtained from the Experimental Animal House at the Second Military Medical University (Shanghai, China). They were divided at random into two groups: five were used as the sham-operation control group and another five were used as the 70%PHx test group. All experiments were done in triplicate. Both groups were housed with a 12 h light/ 12 h dark cycle, and given a standard diet until they were euthanized. PHx (–70%) was done in the test group as described [Higgins and Anderson, 1931]; the median and left lateral lobes of the liver were removed without injuring the remaining tissue. The control group was subjected to a sham operation with the same procedure as the test group but without liver removal.

$\operatorname{NYCODENZ^{(\!\!R\!)}}$ density gradient centrifugation and mitochondrial protein preparation

Subcellular fractionation of rat liver and Nycodenz[®] density gradient centrifugation were done essentially as described but with minor modifications [Ayako and Fridovich, 2002]. Nycodenz® was dissolved to 50% (w/v) in 5 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA and a mixture of protease inhibitor (1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride) and phosphatase inhibitors (0.2 mM Na₃VO₄, 1 mM NaF). This stock solution was diluted with 5 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 0.25 M sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, and a mixture of protease inhibitor and phosphatase inhibitors. The crude mitochondrial pellets obtained from differential centrifugation [Jiang et al., 2004] were suspended in 12 ml of 25% Nycodenz[®] and placed onto a discontinuous Nycodenz[®] gradient consisting of 5 ml of 34% Nycodenz[®] and 8 ml of 30% Nycodenz[®], followed by 8 ml of 23% Nycodenz[®] and finally 3 ml of 20% Nycodenz[®]. The sealed tubes were centrifuged for 90 min at 52,000g at 4°C. The mitochondria were in the band at the 25%/30% interface, which was collected and diluted with the same volume of homogenization buffer and then centrifuged twice at 15,000g for 20 min. The samples of mitochondrial protein were prepared as described [Jiang et al., 2004]. The mitochondria pellets from Nycodenz[®] density gradient purification were suspended in lysis buffer (8 M urea, 4% 3-[(3cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate (Chaps), 65 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 40 mM Tris), sonicated at 100 W for 30 s, and centrifuged at 25,000g for 1 h. The supernatant was collected and the protein concentration was determined with a Quick Start Bradford Assay Kit (Bio-Rad).

2-DE, GEL STAINING, AND TRYPTIC DIGESTION OF 2-DE GEL SPOTS

The 2-DE was done essentially according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, samples of mitochondrial protein (0.2 mg) were added to 0.35 ml of rehydration solution (8 M urea, 4% (w/v) Chaps, 65 mM DTT, 0.2% (w/v) carrier ampholytes and a trace of bromophenol blue). For the first dimension, the sample was applied to immobilized 17 cm, pH 3–10 nonlinear IPG strips (Bio-Rad). Rehydration was done at 50 V for 14 h. Isoelectric focusing (IEF)

using a Proten IEF Cell apparatus (Bio-Rad) was started at 250 V and the voltage was increased gradually to 10,000 V and kept constant until 70,000 Vh. The second dimension electrophoresis was carried out on 10% SDS-PAGE gels (230 mm \times 200 mm \times 1.5 mm) at a constant current of 25 mA/gel. The 2D gels were silver-stained as described [Shevchenko et al., 1996]. To reduce error, we improved the second dimension electrophoresis by cutting the strips gently from the middle point after IEF, and placing the acidic extremity and the alkaline extremity of the control sample and the test sample, respectively, on the same SDS-PAGE gels. After electrophoresis the gels were scanned with an ImageScanner (UMAX). Spot detection, spot matching, and quantitative intensity analysis were performed using PDQuest7.4 software (Bio-Rad). The gel images were normalized according to the total quantity in the analysis set. The difference in the abundance of differential protein spots was analyzed with Student's *t*-test. Any difference with a *P*-value \leq 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The silver-stained protein spots were digested as described [Gharahdaghi et al., 1999].

MATRIX-ASSISTED LASER DESORPTION/IONIZATION TIME-OF-FLIGHT (MALDI-TOF) MASS SPECTROMETRY (MS) AND DATABASE SEARCH

Each sample was suspended in 0.7 μ l of matrix solution (α -cyano-4hydroxycinnamic acid (CHCA) in acetonitrile/water (1:1, v/v) acidified with 0.1% (v/v) TFA). The mixture was immediately spotted onto the MALDI target and allowed to dry and to crystallize. The analyses were performed on a 4700 Proteomics Analyzer (TOF/ TOFTM) (Applied Biosystems, USA) equipped with a 355 nm Nd:YAG laser. The proteins were identified by peptide mass fingerprinting (PMF) and tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) using the program MASCOT v. 1.9 (Matrix Science, London, UK) against SWISS-PROT database with GPS explorer software (Applied Biosystems). MASCOT protein scores (based on combined MS and MS/MS spectra) of greater than 61 were considered statistically significant ($P \le 0.05$).

CELL CULTURE

The normal rat liver cell line BRL-3A was obtained from the Shanghai Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology. The BRL-3A cells were maintained as a monolayer in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/ml of penicillin, and 100 μ g/ml of streptomycin. The cells were maintained at 37°C in an atmosphere with 5% CO₂.

RNA INTERFERENCE

Duplex siRNA was obtained from GeneChem (Shanghai, China). The siRNA sequence targeting rat prohibitin was 5'-GCCAGAUUUGUG-GUGGAAAtt-3' (sense) and 5'-UUUCCACCACAAAUCUGGCtt-3' (antisense). A nonsense duplex was used as the control. BRL-3A cells were plated on 6-well plates with antibiotic-free DMEM overnight and transfected with siRNA by Lipofectamine2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The final concentration of siRNA duplex was 100 nM. Six hours after transfection, the medium was switched to DMEM supplemented with antibiotics.

RT-PCR AND QUANTITATIVE REAL-TIME PCR

Cells or homogenized liver tissue were harvested with Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. After treatment with DNaseI, each RNA sample was reverse-transcribed with random primers (dN₆) following the manufacturer's protocol (MBI Fermantas, Vllnlus, Lithuania). The single-stranded cDNA was used in quantitative real-time PCR to evaluate the relative expression levels of PHB (5'-GCGGTGGAAGCCAAACAG-3' and 5'-TTCTTCTGCTGCTCAGCCTTT-3'), compared to β-actin (5'-ATGGTGGGTATGGGTCAGAAG-3' and 5'-TGGCTGGGGTGTTGA-AGGTC-3') used as an internal control for determining cell number and metabolic status. Quantitative real-time PCR (ABI7300, Applied Biosystems) was done with SYBR Green I reagents (TOYOBO) and the primers were designed according to the ABI manufacturer's protocol. A total of 40 cycles of PCR were performed with 15 s at 95°C, 60 s at 60°C. The real-time PCR signals were analyzed with LightCycler 3.5 software (Roche Diagnostics).

WESTERN BLOTTING

Cells were harvested with trypsinization and rinsed twice in ice-cold PBS, and lysed in sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) lysis buffer with protease inhibitor PMSF for 20 min on ice. The samples were boiled and clarified by centrifugation at 12,000*g* for 10 min at 4°C. Proteins were separated by 10% SDS–PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane. The blots were probed by anti-prohibitin antibody (Neomarker) and proteins were normalized with anti- β -actin antibody (Neomarker) and visualized with DAB or the Amersham ECL system. The digital image was obtained by scanning the membrane and gray value analysis.

ASSESSMENT OF MITOCHONDRIAL MEMBRANE POTENTIAL

Serum cell samples (3×10^5) were stained with 1 g/ml of JC-1 (5, 5',6,6'-tetrachloro-1,1',3,3'-tetraethylbenzimidazolylcarbocyanine iodide) (Molecular Probes). After incubation for 10 min in a CO₂ incubator, cells were washed with PBS, and cell suspensions were prepared for flow cytometry essentially as described [Fulda et al., 1998]. Flow cytometry was performed on a FACSCalibur instrument and analyzed with CellQuest software (BD Bioscience).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The data are expressed as mean \pm SD of three experiments. Statistical significance was estimated with Student's *t*-test for unpaired observations. A *P*-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

COMPARISON OF 2-DE PATTERNS AND DIFFERENTIAL EXPRESSION OF MITOCHONDRIAL PROTEINS

A purified mitochondrial fraction was obtained by Nycodenz[®] density gradient centrifugation and the proteins derived from hepatic mitochondria were separated on pH 3–10NL IPG strips followed by electrophoresis through 10% SDS–PAGE gels to examine the mitochondrial proteins correlated with the early phase of LR. A total of 350 ± 42 and 272 ± 25 spots were detected in the control and the test group gels, respectively, using PDQuest7.4 software. A total of 200 ± 33 spots in the test group matched with

spots in the control group, a matching rate of $73(\pm 8)\%$. The expression patterns of the mitochondrial proteins showed that most of the protein spots were concentrated in the pH 7–10 region (Fig. 1A). The differences in protein profiles between the control group and test groups were detected by image analysis. Compared with the control group, 25 protein spots in the test group gels (Fig. 1B) displayed a significant and \geq 2-fold change in abundance, of which 22 were down-regulated (1–22) and 3 were up-regulated (23–25).

IDENTIFICATION OF DIFFERENTIALLY EXPRESSED MITOCHONDRIAL PROTEINS AND BIOINFORMATICS

The proteins were in-gel digested with trypsin, extracted as peptides and identified by MALDI-TOF/TOF MS and database searching. For each spot, PMF was first done to assign a spot to a protein and then an MS/MS fragmentation was used to confirm the PMF results. We identified 22 differentially expressed proteins (Table I) that were involved in various metabolic pathways, including carbohydrate metabolism, lipid metabolism, and respiratory chain and oxidationphosphorylation, biotransformation. Prohibitin (Fig. 2; Fig. 1B, spot 16), a proliferation-regulating protein, was down-regulated at 24 h after 70%PHx. Unidentified spots were considered to be protein mixtures and were separated and identified further.

VALIDATION OF DIFFERENTIAL PROTEIN BY QUANTITATIVE REAL-TIME PCR AND WESTERN BLOTTING

All of the differentially expressed proteins in this study were defined by measuring the density values of the protein spots and using an expression change rate threshold equal to or above twofold. To verify whether the differential proteins in our study were real differential ones, we used quantitative real-time PCR and Western blotting for confirmation. We selected prohibitin (Fig. 2; Fig. 1B, spot 16) whose expression change was relatively less obvious compared to other differential proteins to be validated, so that the confirmation results would better assure the liability of our 2-DE data for the other differential proteins with higher expression changes. Figure 3 showed the quantitative real-time PCR and Western blotting results, revealing about a twofold down-regulation of prohibitin mRNA (Fig. 3A) and protein expression (Fig. 3B) in the 70%PHx test group compared to the sham-operation control group. These data agreed with the expression changes shown by the 2-DE analysis.

PROHIBITIN siRNA (PHBi) LEADS TO DECREASED MITOCHONDRIAL MEMBRANE POTENTIAL

Recently, prohibitin was reported to be essential for normal mitochondrial development, and prohibitin deficiency was shown to be associated with deficient mitochondrial biogenesis [Artal-Sanz et al., 2003]. We asked whether the decrease of prohibitin by PHBi had any effect on mitochondrial membrane potential. PHBi showed a dramatic reduction of prohibitin mRNA (Fig. 4A) and protein level (Fig. 4B) compared with that of the control group (mock). Mitochondrial membrane potential was examined by flow cytometry using a mitochondria-specific probe (JC-1). When the mitochondrial membrane potential was high, JC-1 formed J-aggregates that emitted red fluorescence. In contrast, when the mitochondrial



Fig. 1. Patterns comparison of 2-DE and differential expression of mitochondrial proteins. A: Three paired of 2-DE maps of mitochondrial proteins in rat liver. Mitochondrial proteins (0.2 mg) were loaded on pH 3–10 nonlinear IPG strips (17 cm), with 10% SDS–PAGE as the second dimension. The gel was visualized by silver staining. For second dimension electrophoresis, the strips were cut gently from middle point after IEF. Three maps in the upper panel are from the region of pH 3–7; the other three maps in the bottom panel are from the region of pH 7–10. B: Twenty-five differentially expressed proteins were found. The left spectrum is from the region of pH 7–10 and the right is from the region of pH 3–7. Differential proteins are marked by an arrow and number. SH: sham–operation control groups; PH: 70%PHx groups. Three independent repetitions were performed. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]

membrane potential collapsed, for example, because of membrane damage, these aggregates cannot form and the fluorescence shifts from red to green. Cells with the level of prohibitin decreased by PHBi had a decreased mitochondrial membrane potential (Fig. 4C). In the control group, the mean red fluorescence intensity of 1×10^4 cells was 431.7 ± 62.9, but for PHBi it was reduced to 271.4 ± 37.6.

DISCUSSION

LR involves various molecular events and mitochondria are directly involved. Using subcellular proteomic analysis, the present study showed alterations of rat liver mitochondrial proteome in the early phase of LR induced by 70%PHx. Changes of mitochondrial

1g[4573786Mtochondrial altelyde dehydrogenase preursor (rat)2415556.26.6ArPCIGSFWR/TS YTR/TFV0EDVDEFVER2g[4057346Arto-constrate dehydrogenase, short dain (rat)116439.309.12XTER/DIDEVTSPR3g[2056157Similar to Nity notein 2 (rat)116439.309.12XTER/DIDEVTSPR4g[21551047Dimain r, Emoty-Can Hydranses, short dain (rat)2562236556.9IAACIGSPANHDAPTSPR6g[21551047Dimain o Nity notein 2 (rat)3068176.9IAACIASANHDAPTSPR6g[21551047Dimain o Nity notein 2 (rat)3068176.9IAACIASANHDAPTSPR6g[21551047Dimain o Nity notein 2 (rat)3068176.9IAACIASANHDAPTSPR7g[215504567Sindiar to Nity notein 1 (rat)3395549.54HIGLIPVSTKRPVSTRAPTSGR8g[21550457Sindiar to Nity notein 1 (rat)3395549.54HIGLIPVSTKRPVSTRAPTSGR11335261Private dehydrogenase E1 (rath)1063832185.94HIGPARTSMAPTSGR12335205FEDICTED: Internoteic (rath)1063832185.94HIGPARTSMAPTSGR12335205Prevate dehydrogenase E1 (rath)1063832185.94HIGPARTSMAPTSGR12335205Sindia notein (rat)1033895145.94HIGPARTSMAPTSGR12335205Prevate dehydrogenase E1 (rath)1033895145.94HIGPARTSMAPTSGR12335205Prevate dehydrogenase E1 (rath)103230245.94HIGPARTSMAPT	No.	NCB Inr index code	Protein name	Protein score	Mr (KDa)	pI	MS/MS	Note
	1 2	gi 45737866 gi 48734846	Mitochondrial aldehyde dehydrogenase precursor (rat) Acvl-coenzyme A dehydrogenase, shorr chain (rat)	241 116	55566.2 44939	6.69 8.47	AAFQLGSPWR/TS YTR/TFVQEDVYDEFVER LVIAGHLIR/LHTVFLPETHOML	P < 0.05 P < 0.05
4gjj215583Chain F. Enoy-Loa Hydratase Complexed With Octanoyl-Coa256286956.41SLAMEMUTEDR/NISSYGLIOLNEPK6gj1256545Binar to Nit protein 2 (rad)862315439.11ITINLAQISANHIDAAIFPG7gj1266655Binar to Nit protein (rad)862315439.11ITINLAQISANHIDAAIFPG8gj1266655Dihydro lipoylysine-residue accyltransferase component of pyruvate579.53XGGADAIHGEGDSFP.9gj135055Dihydro lipoylysine-residue accyltransferase component of pyruvate579.53XGGADAINTK/ARTVYSFIAGTAPFGRP.10gj1352051ATP synthase D chain, mitochondrial0.6303555.34IMEGPATINYK/ARTVYSFIAGTAPFGRP.11gj1352051ATP synthase D chain, mitochondrial0.63032565.34IMEGPATINYK/ARTVYSFIAGTAPFGRP.12gj1352051ATP synthase D chain, mitochondrial0.63032565.34IMEGPATINK/ARTVYSFIAGTAPFGRP.13gj135057Pruvare delydrogenase E1, abha form 1 subunit (rad)0.63832.85.34IMEGPATINLIAAVR13gj1330567Pruvare delydrogenase E1, abha form 1 subunit (rad)0.63332.85.34IMEGPATINLIAAVR13gj1330567Pruvare delydrogenase E1, abha form 1 subunit (rad)0.63332.85.34IMEGPATINLIAAVR13gj1330567Pruvare delydrogenase E1, abha form 1 subunit (rad)0.63332.85.34IMEGPATINLIAAVR13gj1330567Pruvare delydrofogenase E1, abha form	i m	gi 205892	Preornithine carbamoyl transferase (rat)	74	39930.8	9.12	KPEEVDDEVFYSPR	P < 0.05
5 5 5 5 102 30617 6 5 110 100100 of ES (rad)7 8 5 5 5 5 5 110 100100 of CS (rad) 10000 of CS (rad) 100000 of CS (rad) 100000 of CS (rad) 100000 of CS (rad) 1000000 of CS (rad) 1000000 of CS (rad) 1000000 of CS (rad) 1000000 of CS (rad) 10000000 of CS (rad) 10000000 of CS (rad) 10000000 of CS (rad) 100000000 of CS (rad) 100000000 of CS (rad) 1000000000 of CS (rad) $1000000000000000000000000000000000000$	4	gi 3212683	Chain F, Enoyl-Coa Hydratase Complexed With Octanoyl-Coa	256	28269.5	6.41	SLAMEMVLTGDR/NSSVGLIQLNRPK	P < 0.05
66828154.89.11IINLAQLSANIDAMIPG769911INLAQLSANIDAMIDAFFG89911INLAQLSANIDAMIPG99991534995.49.54999132051ATP with are total excititansferase component of pyruvate6757957.565.79999132051ATP with operation1534995.49.54HIGLPVENTVKIAREVVSFIAGITAPGR10991322051ATP with operation16937.59.45HIGLPVENTVKIAREVVSFIAGITAPGR10991332051ATP with operation16938.22.85.34HIGLPVENTVKIAREVVSFIAGITAPGR1199135.562.4Private delydrogenase E1 tomponent heta beta subunit, mitochondrial106382.23.85.34MIGPAFNIDAAVR139913305050PEDITE1.blPrinceton chain keto acid delydrogenase E1, beta polypeptide (rat)7842795.86.4113991350572Private delydrogenase E1, abuait (rat)7842795.86.41149913305050PEDITED: harnole chain keto acid delydrogenase E1, beta polypeptide (rat)7842795.86.41139913305050PEDITED: harnole chain keto acid delydrogenase E1, beta polypeptide (rat)7842795.86.4113991350572Private delydrogenase E1 alpha form 1 subuit (rat)7842795.86.41<	2	gi 72679567	Similar to Nit protein 2 (rat)	102	30681.7	6.9	TALIQLOVSSIK	P < 0.05
7 gj256545 PREDICTD: similar to HSC0 protein (rad) 63 25659 5.3 VIEADVTW/ARCWVSFIAGITAPGR F 9 gj266665 Dihydro lipoylysine-residue acetyltransferase component of pyruvate 67 59756 5.7 VIEANSVMDTVIR F 10 gj1352651 Dihydro lipoylysine-residue acetyltransferase component of pyruvate 67 59756 5.7 VIEANSVMDTVIR F 10 gj1352654 Pruvate dehydrogenase Component leta heta subunit, mitochondrial 169 40457.6 7.64 MIEADVAR F F 11 gj[2557217 Nutria lo protein (rad) 106 3822.8 5.94 MEGPAFNIDMATYR F F 13 gj[355673 Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 component heta heta subunit, mitochondrial 106 3822.8 5.94 MEGPAFNIDMATYR F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F </td <td>9</td> <td>gi 51261047</td> <td>Homolog of ES1 (rat)</td> <td>86</td> <td>28154.8</td> <td>9.11</td> <td>ITNLAQLSAANHDAAIFPG</td> <td>P < 0.05</td>	9	gi 51261047	Homolog of ES1 (rat)	86	28154.8	9.11	ITNLAQLSAANHDAAIFPG	P < 0.05
8g[38181885Suelgt protein (rat)15134955.49.54HIGLPVENTVK/AKEWSFIAGITAPGRH9g[135051ATP syntase D chain, mitochondrial6758726.55.7VPEANSSMDTVRH10g[1353021ATP syntase D chain, mitochondrial16940457.67.8HIGLPVENTVK/AKEWSFIAGITAPGRH11g[02331217Protein (rat)8318751.66.17LASISEKPPAIDWAYRH12g[1353524Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 component beta beta subunit, mitochondrial10638823.85.94MIEGPAFNIDWAYRH13g[1353525PREDICTED: branched chain kera activation (rat)16938822.85.94MIEGPAFNIDWAYRH13g[1375557Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 option 1 subunit (rat)7842736.85.14MIEGPAFNIDWARRH14g[157557Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 alpha form 1 subunit (rat)7842302.45.07VTHAVTVPAYFNDA0R/JNHLGTFDITGPPAR15g[1393753Prohinin (rat)7843168.68.35VTHAVTVPAYFNDA0R/JNTLMSFDDAVRH15g[1393753Prohinin (rat)7843168.68.35VTHAVTVPAYFNDA0R/JNTLMSFDDAVR16g[1393753Prohinin (rat)7843168.68.35VTHAVTVPAYFNDA0R/JNTLMSFDDAVR16g[13937590767GAPD protein (rat)782302.45.07VTHAVTVPAYFNDA0R/JNTLMSFDDAVR17g[120562824-KDa mitochondrial0 4575550855.41EDICTED: inmit on gyrceradehydre-3-phosphate dehydrogena	7	gi 27676450	PREDICTED: similar to HSCO protein (rat)	63	27659	6.58	LSGAQADLHIGEGDSIPF	P < 0.05
9gi266685Dihydro lipoytoCVFEANSSWMDTVIRF10gidivydrogenase complex (E2)divydrogenase complex (E2)1LASISEKPAIDWAYYRF11gigi3351.66.17LASISEKPAIDWAYRF12gigi35524764Intervention16940467.67.6413gigi3822.85.94IMEGPAFNEIDAAVRF13gigi3822.85.94IMEGPAFNEIDAAVRF13gigi3822.85.94IMEGPAFNEIDAAVRF13gigi3822.85.94IMEGPAFNEIDAAVRF13gigi3822.85.94IMEGPAFNEIDAAVRF13gigi3822.85.94IMEGPAFNEIDAAVRF14gi3800366Heat shock70 ka protein 5 (rat)7843168.65.0715gi39303563Heat shock70 ka protein 5 (rat)7843168.65.07714AVVTVPAFNDA0R/NDA1LGIGPPAFNE15gigi39303563Heat shock70 ka protein 5 (rat)782300.15.577564.1007816gigi3300563Heat shock70 ka protein2312611.45.577504.4007817gigi33005531FF5641607.105.577505.60756.70756.7017gigi3505563FFF5641607.90 <td>8</td> <td>gi 38181885</td> <td>Sucigi protein (rat)</td> <td>151</td> <td>34995.4</td> <td>9.54</td> <td>HLGLPVFNTVK/AKPVVSFIAGITAPPGR</td> <td>P < 0.05</td>	8	gi 38181885	Sucigi protein (rat)	151	34995.4	9.54	HLGLPVFNTVK/AKPVVSFIAGITAPPGR	P < 0.05
10g 1352051Aft synthase Complex (E2)11g 653531217Ndufal O province (F2)11g 655531217Ndufal O province (F2)12g 1352624Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 component beta subunit, mitochondrial16913g 3485350Predit O province (F2)13g 3485350PREDICTED: branched chain keto acid dehydrogenase E1, beta polypeptide (rat)7813g 3485350PREDICTED: branched chain keto acid dehydrogenase E1, beta polypeptide (rat)7814g 35657Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 alpha form 1 subunit (rat)7815g 3830369Heat shoc/70 kDa protein 5 (rat)7816g 3565282442302.45g 363035532442302.45g 36526382442302.45g 36305532442302.46g 5968475.677g 3655382447g 36553826417REDICTED: handeed chain teta18g 596847344733442302.419g 56855385.6410g 593553820g 3739553620g 3739553820g 3739553820<	6	gi 266685	Dihydro lipoyllysine-residue acetyltransferase component of pyruvate	67	58726.9	5.7	VPEANSSWMDTVIR	P < 0.05
10 g[1352051 ATP synthase D chain, mitochondrial 83 18751.6 6.17 LASLSEKPPAIDWAYYR F 11 g[02331217 Nutfalo protein (rad) 169 40467.6 7.64 IMEGPAFNELDAAVR F 13 g[1352624 Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 component beta beta subunit, mitochondrial 106 3822.8 5.94 IMEGPAFNELDAAVR F 13 g[135657 Precursor (PDHE-B) mecusor (PDHE-B) 78 42795.8 6.41 14 g[15657 Prenoter dehydrogenase E1, beta polypeptide (rat) 78 42795.8 6.41 15 g[13303056] Heat shock 70 kDa protein 5 (rat) 3344 2396.5 FDAGELITOR/DOWNT LIK/LEAAEDIATOLS 16 g[13937353 274.kDa mitochondrial NADH dehydrogenase F1, beta polypeptide (rat) 78 42561.4 5.07 VTHAVVTVPAYNDAQR/JONHLLGTFDLTGIPAPR 17 g[13037353 274.kDa mitochondrial NADH dehydrogenase f1, polypeptide (rat) 78 7302.4 5.07 FDAGELITOR/DOWNT LIK/LEDDRAMANLAOR/DOWN 17 g[13037353 274.kDa mitochondrial NADH dehydrogenase (rat) 78 2360.1 7.63 16671.8 1697.8 19 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>dehydrogenase complex (E2)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			dehydrogenase complex (E2)					
11 g[52531217 Ndufa10 protein (rat) 169 40467.6 7.64 12 g[1355624 Pyruvar dehydrogenase E1 component beta beta subunit, mitochondrial 106 38822.8 5.94 IMEGPAFNELDAAVR F 13 g[3465550 PREDICTED: branched chain keto acid dehydrogenase E1, beta polypeptide (rat) 78 42795.8 6.41 14 g[37657 Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 apha form 1 subunit (rat) 78 42795.8 6.41 15 g[3030369 Heat shock 70 kDa protein 5 (rat) 78 42795.8 6.41 16 g[305638 24.44Da mitochondrial NADH dehydrogenase E1, beta polypeptide (rat) 78 42795.8 6.41 17 g[3056381 Protein frat) 78 42795.8 6.41 2302.4 17 g[3056583 24-kDa mitochondrial NADH dehydrogenase (rat) 78 41694.8 5.64 LEGTINVQEAQNILK/FQIDIFGIPAPRN 17 g[3056583 24-kDa mitochondrial not glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (rat) 78 35800.1 7.63 164710K/DIQNVNT LAKTEAAEDIAYQISR 18 g[305656511 PREDICTED: similar to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (rat) 78 35800.1 <td>10</td> <td>gi 1352051</td> <td>ATP synthase D chain, mitochondrial</td> <td>83</td> <td>18751.6</td> <td>6.17</td> <td>LASLSEKPPAIDWAYYR</td> <td>P < 0.05</td>	10	gi 1352051	ATP synthase D chain, mitochondrial	83	18751.6	6.17	LASLSEKPPAIDWAYYR	P < 0.05
12 g[1352624 Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 component beta subunit, mitochondrial 106 38822.8 5.94 IMEGPAFNFLDAAVR H 13 g[37657 Preuvate dehydrogenase E1 component beta subunit (rat) 78 42795.8 6.41 F F F 14 g[37657 Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 alpha form 1 subunit (rat) 78 42795.8 6.41 F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	11	gi 62531217	Ndufa10 protein (rat)	169	40467.6	7.64		P < 0.05
13gi[3765350PRECURSOF (PURET-D) TREDICTED: hanched chain keto acid dehydrogenase E1, beta polypeptide (rat)7842795.86.41714gi[37657Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 alpha form 1 subunit (rat)7843168.68.35VTHAVVTVPAYFNDAQR/DNHLLGTFDLTGIPPAPR715gi[38303969Heat shock 70 kDa protein 5 (rat)1 subunit (rat)25872302.45.07VTHAVVTVPAYFNDAQR/DNHLLGTFDLTGIPPAPR717gi[305052824+kDa mitochondrial NADH dehydrogenase precursor24423801.95.57FDAGELTIOR/DLQNWTIT LR/KEAAEDIAYOLSR717gi[205652824-kDa mitochondrial NADH dehydrogenase precursor22126511.46DIEEIIDELR/AAAVLAQR/DFPFPFNY718gi[55605511PREDICTED: similar to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (rat)7833605.28.1433600.17.6320gi[55605511REDICTED: similar to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (rat)7833605.28.14720gi[62665511GAPD protein (rat)7833605.28.14721Not identified7635805.28.1435805.28.1422Not identified78115062.35.37GOILTMANPIIGNGGAPD23gi[626657317670176707670767023signofor7635805.28.1435805.28.1423not identified78115062.36.37GOILTMANPIIGNGGAPD24gi[377930585Mitochondrial acyl-CoA thioes	12	gi 1352624	Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 component beta beta subunit, mitochondrial	106	38822.8	5.94	IMEGPAFNFLDAAVR	P < 0.05
13 g[34863350 PREDICTED: branched chain keto acid dehydrogenase E1, beta polypeptide (rat) 78 42795.8 6.41 PA 15 g[3137567 Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 alpha form 1 subunit (rat) 78 42168.6 8.35 VTHAVVTVPAYFNDAQR/DNHILGTFDLTGIPAPR F 16 g[13937553 Prothibito (rat) 210 25.07 FDAGELTTQR/DLONVNIT LR/KLEAAEDIAYQLSR F 17 g[13937553 Prothibito (rat) 7330.4 5.07 FDAGELTTQR/DLONVNIT LR/KLEAAEDIAYQLSR F 17 g[139375528 24+LDa mitochondrial NADH dehydrogenase precursor 221 25511.4 6 DIEEIIDELR/AAAVILAQR/DTPFDFTPENY F 18 g[15960531 FRDICTED: similar to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (rat) 78 35800.1 7.63 8.14 F F F 20 g[37590767 GAPD protein (rat) 78 35800.1 7.63 6.37 F F 21 Not identified FRDICTED: similar to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (rat) 78 1.563 8.14 F F F F F F F F F F F			precursor (PDHE1-B)					
14gi[57657Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 alpha form 1 subunit (rat)7843168.68.358.3515gi[3205238Prohibitin (rat)5.67FMAVVTVPAYFNDAOR/DNHLGTFDLTGIPAPRF16gi[1205223872302.45.07VTHAVVTVPAYFNDAOR/DNHLGTFDLTGIPAPRF17gi[320523824-kDa mitochondrial NADH dehydrogenase precursor23125672302.45.07VTHAVVTVPAYFNDAOR/DIFFDFTPENY17gi[320523824-kDa mitochondrial NADH dehydrogenase precursor22125511.46DIEEIIDELR/AAAVLLAQR/DTFPDFTPENY18gi[52662511PREDICTED: similar to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (rat)7835800.17.638.1420gi[52662511PREDICTED: similar to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (rat)7835800.17.638.1420gi[52662511PREDICTED: similar to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (rat)7835805.28.1410221Not identified7.636.017.636.37GOILTMANPIIGNGGAPD22gi[626550655PREDICTED: hypothetical protein (rat)78115062.36.37GOILTMANPIIGNGGAPD23gi[626550655REDICTED: hypothetical protein XP_57939278115062.36.37GOILTMANPIIGNGGAPD24gi[47738958Mitochondrial acyl-CoA thioesterase 1 (rat)78115062.36.37GOILTMANPIIGNGGAPD25Not identified8.16GILTMANPIIGNGGAPD8.16GILTMANPIIGNGGAPD25Not identified8.16<	13	gi 34865350	PREDICTED: branched chain keto acid dehydrogenase E1, beta polypeptide (rat)	78	42795.8	6.41		P < 0.05
15g[38303969Heat shock 70 kDa protein 5 (rat)5 (rat)25872302.45.07VTHAVVTVPAYFNDAQR/DNHLLGTFDLTGIPAPRH16g][3930353Prohibitin (rat)34429801.95.57FDAGELITQR/DLONVNIT LR/KLEAAEDIAYQLSRF18g][29808474Sucig2 potein5.57FDAGELITQR/DLONVNIT LR/KLEAAEDIAYQLSRF18g][52662511REEDICTED: similar to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (rat)7835800.1.95.64LEGTNVQEAQNILK/FQIDIFEGIKDSQAQRF20g][52662511REEDICTED: similar to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (rat)7835805.28.14F20g][53590767GAPD protein (rat)7835805.28.14FF21Not identified7.636.37GOILTMANPIIGNGGAPDFF22Not identified7.636.37GOILTMANPIIGNGGAPDFF23g][63556085RREDICTED: hypothetical protein XP_57739328.16GILTMANPIIGNGGAPDFF24g][47938958Mitochondrial acyl-CoA thioesterase 1 (rat)78115062.36.37GOILTMANPIIGNGGAPDF24g][47938958Mitochondrial acyl-CoA thioesterase 1 (rat)78115062.36.37GOILTMANPIIGNGGAPDF25Not identified8.16GOILTMANPIIGNGGAPD8.16GOILTMANPIIGNGGAPDFF25Not identified8.16GOILTMANPIIGNGGAPD8.16GOILTMANPIIGNGGAPDFF25Not identified8	14	gi 57657	Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 alpha form 1 subunit (rat)	78	43168.6	8.35		P < 0.05
16g[13937353Prohibitin (rat)17g[205658324+LDa mitochondrial NADH dehydrogenase precursor22126511.46DIEEIIDELR/AAAVLLAORD/DFPDFTPENY17g[1500563817Sucg2 protein18g[162656311PREDICTED: similar to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (rat)7835800.17.6320g[163656317GAPD protein (rat)7835800.28.14121Not identified7.63EGTIVQEAQNILK/FQIDIFEGIKDSQAQR122Not identified7.631.631.4123Not identified8.1435805.28.14124g[162650858Mitochondrial acyl-CoA thioesterase 1 (rat)78115062.36.3760LTMANPIIGNGGAPD23Not identified78115062.36.37GQLTMANPIIGNGGAPD124g[147393658Mitochondrial acyl-CoA thioesterase 1 (rat)78115062.36.37GQLTMANPIIGNGGAPD25Not identified8.16GLTTMANPIIGNGGAPD111125Not identified8.161.5507.18.16111261.6707.18.161.6007.11.6007.1111271.6107.11.7607.18.161.7607.111281.6107.11.7607.18.161.7607.111291.6107.11.7607.18.161.7607.111291.6107.11.7707.11.7707.1 <td< td=""><td>15</td><td>gi 38303969</td><td>Heat shock 70 kDa protein 5 (rat)</td><td>258</td><td>72302.4</td><td>5.07</td><td>VTHAVVTVPAYFNDAQR/DNHLLGTFDLTGIPPAPR</td><td>P < 0.05</td></td<>	15	gi 38303969	Heat shock 70 kDa protein 5 (rat)	258	72302.4	5.07	VTHAVVTVPAYFNDAQR/DNHLLGTFDLTGIPPAPR	P < 0.05
17 gil205628 24-KDa mitochondrial NADH dehydrogenase precursor 221 26511.4 6 DIEEIDELR/AAAVILAQR/DTPFDFTPENY F 18 gil50608374 Sucig2 protein 96 41694.8 5.64 LEGTNV0EAQNILK/EQIDIFEGIKDSQAQR F 19 gil50605511 PREDICTED: similar to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (rat) 78 35800.1 7.63 F F 20 gil7590767 GAPD protein (rat) 78 35805.2 8.14 F F 21 Not identified 102 35805.2 8.14 F F F F 22 Not identified 102 35805.2 8.14 F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F <td>16</td> <td>gi 13937353</td> <td>Prohibitin (rat)</td> <td>344</td> <td>29801.9</td> <td>5.57</td> <td>FDAGELITQR/DLQNVNIT LR/KLEAAEDIAYQLSR</td> <td>P < 0.05</td>	16	gi 13937353	Prohibitin (rat)	344	29801.9	5.57	FDAGELITQR/DLQNVNIT LR/KLEAAEDIAYQLSR	P < 0.05
18 g[59808474 Suclg2 protein 19 g[53663511 PREDICTED: similar to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (rat) 78 35800.1 7.63 EGTNVQEAQNILK/EQIDIFEGIKDSQAQR F 20 g[37590767 GAPD protein (rat) 78 35800.1 7.63 F F 21 Not identified 7.63 8.14 102 35805.2 8.14 F F 22 Not identified 102 35805.2 8.14 F F F 23 g[62655085 PREDICTED: hypothetical protein XP_579392 (rat) 67 49597.7 8.16 G0ILTMANPHGNGGAPD F F 24 g]47938958 Mitochondrial acyl-CoA thioesterase 1 (rat) 78 115062.3 6.37 G0ILTMANPHGNGGAPD F Z 25 Not identified 8.16 GLAPEQPVTLR 8.16 GLAPEQPVTLR I I I	17	gi 205628	24-kDa mitochondrial NADH dehydrogenase precursor	221	26511.4	9	DIEEIIDELR/AAAVLLAQR/DTPENNPDTPFDFTPENY	P < 0.05
19 ği 62662511 PREDICTED: similar to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (rat) 78 35800.1 7.63 F 20 gi 37590767 GAPD protein (rat) 102 35805.2 8.14 F 21 Not identified 102 35805.2 8.14 F F 22 Not identified 102 35805.2 8.14 F F 23 gi[62655085 PREDICTED: hypothetical protein XP_579392 (rat) 67 49597.7 8.16 G0ILTMANPIIGNGGAPD F 24 gi[470338958 Mitochondrial acyl-CoA thioesterase 1 (rat) 67 49597.7 8.16 GLAPEQPVTLR I 25 Not identified 8.16 GLAPEQPVTLR I I I	18	gi 59808474	Suclg2 protein	96	41694.8	5.64	LEGTNVQEAQNILK/EQIDIFEGIKDSQAQR	P < 0.05
20 g[]37590767 GAPD protein (rat) 102 35805.2 8.14 H 21 Not identified 102 35805.2 8.14 H H 22 Not identified 102 35805.2 8.14 H H 23 Not identified 15062.3 6.37 G0ILTMANPIIGNGGAPD H 24 g] g] 47938958 Mitochondrial acyl-CoA thioesterase 1 (rat) 67 49597.7 8.16 GLAPEQPVTLR H 25 Not identified 1.000000000000000000000000000000000000	19	gi 62662511	PREDICTED: similar to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (rat)	78	35800.1	7.63		P < 0.05
21 Not identified 22 Not identified 23 Not identified 24 gi[4793856 35 Not identified 24 gi[4793856 36.37 GQILTMANPIIGNGGAPD 27 gi[4793856 37 GQILTMANPIIGNGGAPD 28 gi[4793856 395 Not identified	20	gi 37590767	GAPD protein (rat)	102	35805.2	8.14		P < 0.05
 Not identified 22 Not identified 23 gil62655085 PREDICTED: hypothetical protein XP_579392 (rat) 24 gil47938958 Mitochondrial acyl-CoA thioesterase 1 (rat) 25 Not identified 25 Not identified 	21	Not identified						P < 0.05
23 gi 62655085 PREDICTED: hypothetical protein XP_579392 (rat) 78 115062.3 6.37 GQILTMANPIIGNGGAPD F 24 gi 47938958 Mitochondrial acyl-CoA thioesterase 1 (rat) 67 49597.7 8.16 GLAPEQPVTLR 25 Not identified 1 26 Not identified 1	22	Not identified						P < 0.05
24 gi[47938958 Mitochondrial acyl-CoA thioesterase 1 (rat) 67 49597.7 8.16 GLAPEQPVTLR F 25 Not identified	23	gi 62655085	PREDICTED: hypothetical protein XP_579392 (rat)	78	115062.3	6.37	GQILTMANPIIGNGGAPD	P < 0.05
25 Not identified	24	gi 47938958	Mitochondrial acyl-CoA thioesterase 1 (rat)	67	49597.7	8.16	GLAPEQPVTLR	P < 0.05
	25	Not identified						P < 0.05
Ine proteins were identified by FMF and MS/MS using the program MASCUT V. 1.9 against SWISS-FKU1 database with GFS explorer software. Frotein scores were based on compined with and MS/MS and MS/MS and MS/MS spectr.	The pr	oteins were identi	fied by PMF and MS/MS using the program MASCOT v. 1.9 against SWISS-PROT data	base with GPS	s explorer softwa	ure. Prot	ein scores were based on combined MS and MS/MS spec	tra. Many

TABLE I. Identification of the Differentially Expressed Mitochondrial Proteins

proteins were identified by combined mode, but a few proteins were only matched by PMF, maybe because MS/MS data was poor. Only protein identifications with score greater than P < 0.05 were considered to be positive. No: the spot labels, whose position on the 2-DE gels were displayed in Figure 1B. Note: Statistical analysis of the density of differential spots in the 2-DE gels. Experiment was performed in triplicate. The results were applied to t-test analysis.





response to PHx have been seen mainly as providing a useful form of energy to sustain LR [Tsai et al., 1992; Inomoto et al., 1994; Guerrierie et al., 1995]. To our knowledge, this is the first description of mitochondrial proteome alterations after surgical reduction of liver volume that have been identified by 2-DE in combination with MS, and protein identification via PMF and MS/MS. A single mitochondrion in eukaryotes contains about 1,000 proteins and only 13 of these are encoded by mitochondrial DNA. Interestingly, all of the differentially expressed proteins obtained in the present study are nucleus-encoded, suggesting that the differential expression of mitochondrial proteins induced by PHx might be due to alterations in the expression of nuclear genes or their regulatory mechanism. It was reported that PHx also caused disturbances in intracellular homeostasis such as overproduction of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [Yang et al., 2004] and Ca²⁺ overloading [Kanno et al., 2004] in the early phase of LR. ROS could attack nuclear DNA directly and cause hydrogen bond breaks, base pair degradation as well as double helix unwinding. Excessive activation of Ca^{2+} -dependent protease can also lead to the rupture of DNA structure. Thus, nuclear DNA was injured by PHx-induced biological effects in multisteps, and the transcription as well as expression of nucleus encoded proteins was changed. This not only influenced the expression and function of proteins in cells, but also disturbed protein distribution patterns.

In our study, we have identified 22 differentially expressed proteins (Table I). Bioinformatics analysis suggested that they might regulate many of the biological processes in the remnant livers after PHx, including carbohydrate metabolism, lipid metabolism, the respiratory chain and oxidation-phosphorylation, biotransformation and other metabolic pathways. It is reasonable to believe that



Fig. 3. Validation of differential protein by quantitative real-time PCR and Western blotting. A: Altered expression of prohibitin mRNA at 24 h after 70%PHx. Rat livers were homogenized and harvested with Trizol reagent. Real-time PCR amplifications were performed, standardized by the amounts of β -actin. The real-time PCR signals were analyzed with LightCycler 3.5 software. B: Western blotting for one of the differential proteins, prohibitin. Samples of mitochondrial protein were analyzed on 10% SDS–PAGE, followed by immunoblotting and visualized with DAB. On the left are the Western blotting results for prohibitin and on the right is a gray analysis of the results. SH: sham-operation control groups; PH: 70%PHx groups. All experiments were performed in triplicate and the data were represented as means \pm SD. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]



Fig. 4. PHBi induced the loss of mitochondrial membrane potential. A: BRL-3A cells were seeded in 6-well plates and transfected with 100 nM siRNA duplex targeting prohibitin and nonsensing duplex. At 48 h post-transfection, cells were harvested with Trizol reagent. Real-time PCR amplifications were performed and the mRNA expression of prohibitin were standardized by the amounts of β -actin. The real-time PCR signals were analyzed with LightCycler 3.5 software. B: Or after 72 h post-transfection, cells were lysed with SDS–PAGE loading buffer. Proteins were analyzed on 10% SDS–PAGE, followed by immunoblotting with antibodies against prohibitin and β -actin. C: Decreased of mitochondrial membrane potential in PHBi cells. FACS analysis was performed with PHBi and mock (cells transfected with nonsensing duplex, used as control). FL2 exhibits red fluorescence showing depolarization of mitochondrial potential. All experiments were performed in triplicate and the data were represented as means \pm SD. A standard *t*-test was used and *P*values were generated to establish the significance level of the data and comparisons between PHBi and mock; "*P* < 0.05. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]

these proteins might also be involved in the regulation of LR through different pathways and in different manners. Several identified proteins are related to energy metabolism, such as the dihydro lipoyllysine residue acetyltransferase component of pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (E2), the pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 βsubunit mitochondrial precursor (PDHE1-B), the branched chain keto acid dehydrogenase E1 β polypeptide and pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 α form 1 subunit. Most of them take part in the Krebs cycle, which is responsible for converting pyruvic acid into hydrogen, carbon dioxide and electrons, finally producing ATP. Significantly, the other down-regulated proteins identified were enzymes involved in lipid metabolism, including acyl-coenzyme A dehydrogenase (ACDM), the short chain and chain F of enoyl-CoA hydratase (ECHM) complexed with octanoyl-CoA. These proteins participate in the process of fatty acids *β*-oxidation, and ACDM might be involved in rate limiting and regulation [Broadway et al., 1992; Aoyama et al., 1994]. A disorder in lipid metabolism might be an important characteristic of the PHx-induced dysfunction of mitochondria. The respiratory chain-related proteins detected in this study, such as the ATP synthase D chain and the 24 kDa mitochondrial NADH dehydrogenase precursor, were also downregulated and are involved in mitochondrial electron transport. Some proteins known to be related to biotransformation, such as mitochondrial aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) precursor and preornithine carbamoyl transferase, were also identified in this study. There are reports that mitochondria showed a decrease in the rate of ATP synthesis, oxidative phosphorylation and a diminution of the respiratory control index, whereas the energy charge in the early phase of LR was restored to normal levels within 7 days [Inomoto et al., 1994; Guerrierie et al., 1995; Steer, 1995]. In agreement with an earlier report, our results suggested a dysfunction of mitochondria at 24 h after 70%PHx, which may be involved in causing the lag of LR at this phase [Guerrierie et al., 1995]. It is a plausible, albeit incomplete, suggestion from others and our data that mitochondria might have a preparing role in the early phase of LR, and thereafter it has an important role in remodeling of the liver tissue.

It is interesting to observe that prohibitin (Fig. 2) was downregulated in mitochondria at 24 h after 70%PHx. It had been proposed that prohibitin was involved in many cellular processes, such as cell cycle regulation, transcription regulation, tumor suppression and apoptosis [Roskams et al., 1993; Welburn and Murphy, 1998; Wang et al., 1999]. LR is a very complicated biological procedure which involves various signal transduction pathways and molecular events. Thus, we thought that prohibitin may have a crucial role during LR and chosen this protein for further investigations. Prohibitin was reported to mainly localize in mitochondria, with homologues from yeast to man. Though a growing body of evidence has implicated a role of prohibitin in mitochondrial structure, function and inheritance [Nijtmans et al., 2000, 2002; Thompson et al., 2003], the role of prohibitin in mitochondria have not been completely elucidated and little is known about the molecular function of prohibitin during LR. The mitochondriallocalized prohibitin is confirmed as a high-molecular-weight hetero-complex (ring-shaped structure) by single particle structures [Tatsuta et al., 2005]. Moreover, the interaction of nonassembled

respiratory chain subunits with the prohibitin complex has lead to the proposal of a chaperone activity of prohibitins during the biogenesis of the respiratory chain [Nijtmans et al., 2000]. In support of this observation, the down-regulated mitochondrial respiratory enzymes found in this study might be related to the decreased expression of prohibitin. By applying RNAi (PHBi) with BRL-3A, prohibitin knock-down demonstrated a decreased mitochondrial membrane potential (Fig. 4C), implying a role in maintaining mitochondrial integrity. An earlier report suggested that prohibitin acts as an E2F repressor and as a blocker in some earlier events, such as disruption of mitochondrial integrity and release of cytochrome c [Fusaro et al., 2002]. It was reported recently that prohibitin protects cells from anthralin-induced death by maintaining mitochondrial integrity [Kim et al., 2007]. And some recent study discovered that overexpression of prohibitin inhibits the collapse of the electrochemical gradient across the mitochondrial membrane during serum withdrawal-induced apoptosis in granulosa cells [Chowdhury et al., 2007].

In summary, the analysis of altered proteome of mitochondria proved to be an effective approach for better understanding the role of mitochondria during LR. The changes in the expression of several proteins after 70%PHx reflect the involvement of various cellular metabolic pathways. Our results identified several cellular functions, not yet studied in the context of LR, as valuable targets for further investigation. Prohibitin was shown to be involved in the maintenance of mitochondrial integrity, which might provide an approach to understanding the mechanism underlying the changes of mitochondria in the early phase of LR.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 30570837) and special support from our college. We thank Mr. Xin-Wen Zhou (Fudan University, China) for help with MALDI-TOF/TOF mass spectrometry.

REFERENCES

Aoyama T, Ueno I, Kamijo T, Hashimato T. 1994. Rat very-long-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase, a novel mitochondrial acyl-CoA dehydrogenase gene product, is a rate-limiting enzyme in long-chain fatty acid beta-oxidation system. cDNA and deduced amino acid sequence and distinct specificities of the cDNA-expressed protein. J Biol Chem 269:19088–19094.

Ayako OM, Fridovich I. 2002. Subcellular distribution of superoxide dismutases (SOD) in rat liver. J Biol Chem 276:38388–38393.

Artal-Sanz M, Tsang WY, Willems EM, Grivell LA, Lemire BD, van der Spek H, Nijtmans LG. 2003. The mitochondrial prohibitin complex is essential for embryonic viability and germline function in Caenorhabditis elegans. J Biol Chem 278:32091–32099.

Broadway NM, Dickinson FM, Ratledge C. 1992. Long-chain acyl-CoA ester intermediates of beta-oxidation of mono- and di-carboxylic fatty acids by extracts of Corynebacterium sp. strain 7E1C. Biochem J 285:117–122.

Chen G, Gharib TG, Huang CC, Taylor JM, Misek DE, Kardia SL, Giordano TJ, Iannettoni MD, Orringer MB, Hanash SM, Beer DG. 2002. Discordant protein and mRNA expression in lung adenocarcinomas. Mol Cell Proteomics 1:304– 313.

Chowdhury I, Xu W, Stiles JK, Zeleznik A, Yao X, Matthews R, Thomas K, Thompson WE. 2007. Apoptosis of rat granulosa cells after staurosporine and

serum withdrawal is suppressed by adenovirus-directed overexpression of prohibitin. Endocrinology 148:206–217.

Fulda S, Scaffidi C, Susin SA, Krammer PH, Kroemer G, Peter ME, Debatin KM. 1998. Activation of mitochondria and release of mitochondrial apoptogenic factors by betulinic acid. J Biol Chem 273:33942–33948.

Fountoulakis M, Suter L. 2002. Proteomic analysis of the rat liver. J Chromatogr B 782:197–218.

Fusaro G, Wang S, Chellappan S. 2002. Differential regulation of Rb family proteins and prohibitin during camptothecin-induced apoptosis. Oncogene 21:4539–4548.

Guerrierie F, Muolo L, Cocco T, Capozza G, Turturro N, Cantatore P, Papa S. 1995. Correlation between rat liver regeneration and mitochondrial energy metabolism. Biochim Biophys Acta 1272:95–100.

Guerrierie F, Vendemiale G, Grattagliano I, Cocco T, Pellecchia G, Altomare E. 1999. Mitochondrial oxidative alterations following partial hepatectomy. Free Rad Biol Med 26:34–41.

Gygi SP, Rochon Y, Franza BR, Aebersold R. 1999. Correlation between protein and mRNA abundance in yeast. Mol Cell Biol 19:1720–1730.

Gharahdaghi F, Weinberg CR, Meagher DA, Imai BS, Mische SM. 1999. Mass spectrometric identification of proteins from silverstained polyacrylamide gel: A method for the removal of silver ions to enhance sensitivity. Electro-phoresis 20:601–605.

Higgins GM, Anderson RM. 1931. Experimental pathology of the liver I: Restoration of the liver of the white rat following partial surgical removal. Arch Pathol 12:186–202.

Inomoto T, Tanaka A, Mori S, Jin MS, Jin MB, Sato B, Yanabu N, Tokuka A, Kitai T, Ozawa K, Yamaoka Y. 1994. Changes in the distribution of the control of the mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation in regenerating rabbit liver. Biochem Biophys Acta 1188:311–317.

Jiang XS, Zhou H, Zhang L, Sheng QH, Li SJ, Li L, Hao P, Li YX, Xia QC, Wu JR, Zeng R. 2004. A high-throughput approach for subcellular proteome. Mol Cell Proteomics 3:441–455.

Kanno T, Sato EE, Muranaka S, Fujita H, Fujiwara T, Utsumi T, Inoue M, Utsumi K. 2004. Oxidative stress underlies the mechanism for Ca(2+)-induced permeability transition of mitochondria. Free Radic Res 38:27–35.

Kim SY, Kim Y, Hwang HY, Kim TY. 2007. Altered expression of prohibitin in psoriatic lesions and its cellular implication. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 360:653–658.

Michalopoulos GK. 1990. Liver regeneration: Molecular mechanisms of growth control. FASEB J 4:176–187.

Melov S. 2004. Modeling mitochondrial function in aging neurons. Trends Neurosci 27:601–606.

Ngala-Kenda JF, De Hamptinne B, Lambotte L. 1984. Role of metabolic overload in the initiation of DNA synthesis following partial hepatectomy in the rat. Eur Surg Res 16:294–302.

Nijtmans LG, de Jong L, Artal Sanz M, Coates PJ, Berden JA, Back JW, Muijsers AO, van der Spek H, Grivell LA. 2000. Prohibitins act as a membrane-bound chaperone for the stabilization of mitochondrial proteins. EMBO J 19:2444–2451.

Nijtmans LG, Artal Sanz M, Grivell LA, Coates PJ. 2002. The mitochondrial PHB complex: Roles in mitochondrial respiratory complex assembly, aging and degenerative disease. Cell Mol Life Sci 59:143–155.

Newmeyer DD, Ferguson-Miller S. 2003. Mitochondria: Releasing power for life and unleashing the machineries of death. Cell 112:481–490.

Roskams AJ, Friedman V, Wood CM, Walker L, Owens GA, Stewart DA, Altus MS, Danner DB, Liu XT, McClung JK. 1993. Cell cycle activity and expression of prohibitin mRNA. J Cell Physiol 157:289–295.

Steer CJ. 1995. Liver regeneration. FASEB J 9:1396-1400.

Shevchenko A, Wilm M, Vorm O, Mann M. 1996. Mass spectrometric sequencing of proteins silver-stained polyacrylamide gels. Anal Chem 68: 850–858.

Tsai JL, King KL, Chang CC, Wie JH. 1992. Changes of mitochondrial respiratory functions and superoxide dismutase activity during liver regeneration. Biochem Int 28:205–217.

Thress K, Kornbluth S, Smith JJ. 1999. Mitochondria at the crossroad of apoptotic cell death. J Bioenerg Biomembr 31:321–326.

Thompson WE, Ramalho-Santa J, Sutovsky P. 2003. Ubiquitination of prohibitin in mammalian sperm mitochondria: Possible roles in the regulation of mitochondrial inheritance and sperm quality control. Biol Reprod 69:254–260.

Tatsuta T, Model K, Langer T. 2005. Formation of membrane-bound ring complexes by prohibitins in mitochondria. Mol Biol Cell 16:248–259.

Vendemmiale G, Guerrieri F, Grattagliano I, Didonna D, Muolo L, Altomare E. 1995. Mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation and intracellular glutathione compartmentation during rat liver regeneration. Hepatology 21:1450–1454.

Welburn SC, Murphy NB. 1998. Prohibitin and RACK homologues are upregulated in trypanosomes induced to undergo apoptosis and in naturally occurring terminally differentiated forms. Cell Death Differ 5:615–622.

Wang S, Nath N, Adlam M, Chellappan S. 1999. Prohibitin, a potential tumor suppressor, interacts with RB and regulates E2F function. Oncogene 18:3501–3510.

Yang S, Tan TM, Wee A, Leow CK. 2004. Mitochondrial respiratory function and antioxidant capacity in normal and cirrhotic livers following partial hepatectomy. Cell Mol Life Sci 61:220–229.